

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

AAF Policy V3-1



29/07/2022

| Title: | FREQU | ENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS |
|--------------------------|---------|---|
| Contact for comments: | | |
| | Email : | aafsupport@fsc.org for questions related to the AAF Policy |
| | | database@fsc.org for questions related to the FSC Certification Database |
| | | <u>connect@fsc.org</u> for questions related to access to the AAF Portal |

® 2022 Forest Stewardship Council, A.C. All Rights Reserved FSC® F000100

You may not distribute, modify, transmit, reuse, reproduce, re-post or use the copyrighted materials from this document for public or commercial purposes, without the express written consent of the publisher. You are hereby authorized to view, download, print and distribute individual pages from this document subject for informational purposes only.

The answers in this document are mandatory interpretations of the AAF Policy.

NOTE: Questions and Answers are presented by chapter of the AAF Policy, with the exception of the first section named '0. General questions', which focuses on questions that do not link to a particular chapter in the Policy.

| Reference | Rationale for Forest Products Turnover(FPT) data |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.5 | Why is the FPT required in the revised AAF Policy? |
| Answer | FSC has assessed how organizations benefit from FSC certification and the use of FSC trademarks. The FPT is used to determine the AAF because this represents the potential value upon which an organization could benefit from FSC certification. |
| | This is not new: for a long time AAF has been calculated based on the Certificate Holder's FPT. Up to now this figure has been used to assign a Certificate Holder (CH) to an AAF class defined by different turnover ranges; implicit in this was disclosure by the CH of the actual FPT figure to Certification Bodies (CBs), it was just not a requirement for entry into the FSC Certification Database. |
| | Currently, the AAF for CoC certification is calculated according to a series of wide bands of FPT, with a fixed fee charged depending on the AAF Class that a CH falls into. This is set to change from July 2022, to make the AAF more equitable. |
| | The current AAF calculation can lead to inequalities because companies at the lower end of a turnover band pay the same fee as those at the top of the band. For example, a company with FPT of US\$101 million will pay the same fee as a company with a FPT of US\$499 million, using the turnover band method. To address this, a new structure will be introduced that calculates AAF based on the actual FPT, rather than using broad ranges. |
| | The new AAF will be calculated based on a CH's actual FPT using a sliding scale. This results in a more equitable AAF structure. |

0. General questions

| Reference | Rationale for Revenue data |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.6 | Why is the Revenue required in the revised AAF Policy? |

| | As explained in question # 0.5, moving to an AAF scheme based on the exact FPT, or best estimate, is more equitable. The Revenue figure, which is required from 1 January 2022 as part of the annual audit, or when requested by the CB, allows the FPT figure to be evaluated in the context of the Revenue for reasonableness and plausibility (see clause 2.11 of the AAF Policy). Section b 'Scope' of the AAF Policy states: "FSC and/or a contracted third party will monitor the correct implementation of this Policy by CBs". Consequently, CHs are required to disclose the overall Revenue to provide the necessary context for the FPT figure; it is an important piece of information to assess whether the correct AAF is charged. |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| Reference | Rationale for FPT amount including products with a small amount of wood |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.7 | Products containing only a small amount of wood are included in the FPT amount. Why is this? |
| Answer | FSC certification is a market-based tool that is intended to support the sale of certified products, with the certificate being applied to the entire product not just one component of a product. The benefit to a CH is equal to the value of the additional sales realized. Thus, the FPT is calculated on the entire value of all products sold by a CH that contain any forest products and is not weighted according to the proportion of forest products within these products sold. (<i>This is the same approach as in previous versions of the AAF Policy.</i>) |

| Reference | Rationale for FPT including non FSC-certified turnover |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.8 | Why is the AAF not calculated solely on the FSC-certified turnover? |
| Answer | The total FPT is used as a basis for calculating AAF because this reflects the total potential benefit that an organization could derive from FSC certification. Furthermore, FSC sees increased uptake of FSC certification as a primary driver towards achieving its mission of 'Forests for All Forever'. Charging AAF based on the entire FPT thus provides an incentive for CHs to maximize the use of their certificate; for example, by sourcing more FSC- certified material from their suppliers and promoting FSC-certified products to their customers. FSC CHs may also advertise themselves as FSC- certified, and hence businesses can derive value simply from having an |

| FSC certificate, even if the proportion of sales of FSC-certified products is |
|---|
| low. (This is the same approach as in previous versions of the AAF Policy.) |

| Reference | Forest Products Turnover (FPT) guidance |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.9 | What is the level of rigor required for the FPT? |
| Answer | Context |
| | The new FSC AAF Policy V3-1 requires that CBs collect CHs' exact FPT or the best estimate possible, if there is no exact number available, for the purpose of calculating AAF according to the updated calculation method. The need for CHs to provide their FPT has always been required in FSC's AAF Policy; however, the AAF was calculated according to FPT ranges and hence an exact figure was not required to be inputted by CBs into the FSC Certification Database. |
| | As such, the need for CHs to estimate their FPT is not a new requirement. However, FSC recognizes that in practice the process underlying this requirement was not always formalized. Therefore, FSC would like to provide further guidance on acceptable levels of rigor expected for the best estimate of FPT according to the new Policy. FSC would also like to reassure CBs and CHs that a reasonable approach will be taken in terms of FPT verification, especially during the transition of 2021 and 2022. |
| | Note also that the term 'Revenue' is a financial reporting term that already implies the use of best estimates for accounting purposes. |
| | 100% forest-based businesses |
| | For CHs that are 100% forest-based businesses the calculation is very simple: the FPT equals the organization's total Revenue. Supporting documents to be viewed by the CB could be financial statements and/or tax filings, for example. |
| | Businesses with forest-based and non-forest-based products |
| | A logical start point for the best estimation of the FPT is that the FPT value falls somewhere in a range between 100% of Revenue (all Revenue is associated with forest products) and 0% of Revenue (no Revenue is associated with forest products). Taking the total Revenue as a start point, an organization can make a reasonable FPT estimate based on progressively excluding non-FPT from their total Revenue. |
| | For example, a \$100m Revenue company with five business units of \$20m each, two of which are forest products related and three of which are not, would be able to quickly estimate that the FPT is somewhere up to a |

| maximum of \$40m. Being conservative, \$40m should be a credible estimate of FPT or alternatively the company could analyse the two business units in more detail to fine-tune the estimate to a lower FPT value. This analysis could include further segmentation of the two business units to exclude further non forest products. The company may further iterate through this process to reach an FPT estimate that is fair and plausible. |
|--|
| CBs are required to ask CHs to provide some basic evidence to support any assertions. For instance, using the aforementioned example, the CH might state that a further 10% of the Revenue from the 2 divisions that trade in forest products are not actually forest-related, and thus the FPT should be further reduced. But if the CH cannot back up that claim, then the original calculation of FPT being 40% of Revenue should be used. |
| Some CHs will have precise records of the amount of turnover derived from forest products, and for these organizations the FPT can be determined from the relevant accounting data. |
| For all other CHs, some degree of estimation and professional judgement will be required to derive a reasonable estimation of FPT. Here, the overall approach should be to work from records and documentation that are available rather than creating complex analyses based on data that are not readily available. |
| A good place to start is with the CH's management accounts and other relevant company documents. Alternatively, the CH may have sales recorded by geographic region: any region where there are no sales of Forest Products can be excluded when determining the FPT. |
| A conservative approach is to be used by CBs when assessing best estimates of Revenue that is, or is not, forest related e.g. if a CH claims that 20-40% of their Revenue does not come from forest products, then the lower figure (20%) should be used, leaving the FPT as 80% of total Revenue. |
| Plausibility checks will help to ensure any estimate of FPT is of the right order of magnitude. For instance, if the majority of a CH's employees work in their forest products division, but the CH claims that FPT only accounts for 30% of Revenue, then auditors should probe deeper. The calculations made to derive the FPT from the total Revenue should be clearly recorded and retained, as these may be required for inspection by FSC or its sub- contractors at a later date. |
| In assessing CB implementation of the AAF Policy, FSC will be looking first and foremost for reasonableness. FSC's expectation is not that CBs will have exact figures for FPT for every CH, but that best estimates are made consistently, reasonably and conservatively with respect to Revenues that are excluded. FSC expects that most CHs should be able to show management accounts that are sufficiently detailed to provide for a reasonable estimate of FPT without making extensive calculations. FSC will not seek to penalize CBs or CHs that have followed these guidelines with reasonable diligence and in good faith. |
| |

| Reference | Data protection |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 0.10 | What data protection considerations have been taken into account? For instance, will the information provided related to the AAF be confidential? |
| | Yes, information provided related to AAF will be held confidential. FSC adheres to General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) regulations, which means that any data stored by FSC (including the FPT and Revenue figures) are handled in a compliant manner. Turnover and Revenue data is stored on the FSC Certification Database; access to Turnover and Revenue data is restricted to the CB who obtains the data from the CH and manages it within the database, plus a tightly defined set of FSC staff who need to use that data to perform their job functions and those teams' contractors who operate under strict non-disclosure agreements. |
| | Processing of business sensitive and confidential data is lawful as processing is necessary in order to ensure compliance with the FSC Certification scheme to which the data subject is party. As with all certification activities, and according to FSC-STD-20-001, clause 1.8, the CB "shall be responsible, through legally enforceable commitments, for the management of all information obtained or created during the performance of certification activities". According to FSC-STD-20-001, clause 2.4.2, the CB must have established procedures to "define the controls needed for the identification, transport, transmission, storage, protection, retrieval and disposition of its records related to the implementation of FSC requirements, including controls to safeguard confidentiality". Therefore, if a CH has concerns on matters regarding certification activities, the CH should raise these concerns with its CB. |
| | FSC will contract Assurance Services International (ASI) or another enforcement body to monitor the implementation of the new AAF Policy from August 2022, and they will therefore have access on a need-to-know basis. This check-and-balance system is essential for a third-party certification system like FSC, which cannot be waived. |

| Reference | Data protection |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021 |
| Question # 0.14 | What are FSC's protocols for handling confidential information? |

| Answer | Certification data, including personal and other confidential information, are managed in FSC's IT platforms. Certification Bodies are contributors and managers of certification data in FSC's IT platforms, and FSC's confidentiality agreements and FSC Information Security protocols reflect the security requirements specific to FSC's operating environment and the laws and regulations that are relevant to FSC, as well as best practices in information security. Our protocols and practices include Access Control to grant access to those with a legitimate role for access, Acceptable Use via our agreements with employees, Certification Bodies and third-party via our IT infrastructure providers who are certified for and provide physical security to ensure availability, integrity, and confidentiality of data, and Network Security that ensures that all data and authentication moves across encrypted channels. |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

ſ

| Reference | Scope |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021, updated 01 July 2022 |
| Question # 0.15 | Will a Corrective Action Request be issued from ASI to CBs if the AAF policy isn't followed adequately? |
| Answer | FSC will instruct ASI to issue CARs on detected non-conformity with the AAF Policy in a similar way as in the context of accreditation from August 2022 onwards once the Terms of References with ASI is finalized. |

| Reference | Scope |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021, updated 01 July 2022 |
| Question # 0.16 | What are the consequences for the CH if an auditor finds an inconsistency related to the AAF? |
| Answer | The auditor and/or the CB should clarify the inconsistencies with their CH, but shall not issue CARs to CHs related to the AAF in 2022, as the Revenue Pathway, Default Pathway and Exception Pathway can apply for Q3 and Q4 2022 AAF invoicing (see Question # 0.13). |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|-----------|-----------------------|
|-----------|-----------------------|

| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
|------------------|--|
| Question # 0.1 | Is forest-based reclaimed material included within the FPT definition? |
| Answer | Yes, forest-based reclaimed material is included in the FPT definition (FSC-STD-40-004, section B Scope Box 2, section 2 Clause 2.4 Table B and section 2.5). (<i>This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.</i>) |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 0.2 | How should the FPT be calculated for a product that consists of wood and/or fiber, as well as other materials? |
| Answer | FPT includes the Revenue of all products containing wood or fibre components, regardless of the percentage of wood and/or fibre. (<i>This approach has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.</i>) |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 0.3 | Should the Revenue from non-certified products be included in the Revenue figure? |
| Answer | Yes. (This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.) |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 0.11 | Are non-timber forest products (NTFPs) included in the FPT? |
| Answer | Yes, the FPT includes the Revenue of all certified and uncertified forest products, including non-timber forest products (NTFPs). (This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.) |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021, updated 28 January 2022 |
| Question # 0.12 | According to the definition, FPT does not refer to related services. What type of services does this refer to? |
| Answer | Services that contribute to adding value of the product are already inherently included in the FPT figure, which is why it does not need to be added again. |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 28 January 2022 |
| Question # 0.17 | The Revenue definition states: 'this is also less intracompany sales (i.e. after any consolidation where a financial group is existing).' In what specific context does this apply? |
| Answer | This relates to sales within one specific certification only. Sales from one CH to another one, even if within the same corporate group, have to be accounted for as if they were to an external client (<i>This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF policy</i>). |

| Reference | Terms and definitions |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 28 January 2022 |
| Question # 0.18 | The Terms and Definitions state that: 'Revenue refers to the most recently completed fiscal year'. Is it acceptable for Revenue and FPT data to be up to 2 (two) years old? |
| Answer | At the point of data collection, the fiscal year-end date must be that of the most recently completed fiscal year end, which will be within the last 12 months (unless exceptional circumstances apply, e.g., if the organization has officially changed its fiscal year end date for its local regulatory filings such as from December to March and at the point of AAF data collection, the organization is currently within a one-off transitional fiscal period that is longer than 12 months). However, after inputting the data into the FSC Certification Database, the CB is required to update this data each calendar year. As a result, in- |

| between the CB's annual updates, the date of the fiscal period-end as shown in the FSC Certification Database may be more than one year ago. |
|--|
| In summary, the most recent available data is used until newer data becomes available at the point of data collection. |

| Reference | Effective date |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 0.4 | Why does the policy have an 'effective date' of "1st January 2022" while in the Policy text the new AAF pricing model commences "1st July 2022" only? |
| Answer | The policy date is 1 January 2022 as it clarifies terminology and obligations. In addition, it outlines new data collection requirements related to FPT, Revenue and fiscal year. In particular, the FPT is required in advance of 1st July 2022, so that this can be used in the new AAF pricing model from 1 July 2022 onwards. |



Table 1 – Default Pathway

| <u>class (1)</u> | | C. <u>AAF</u> <u>class</u> ⁽²⁾ | D. <u>FPT</u> <u>equivalent</u> ⁽²⁾ <u>(Million</u> <u>USD)</u> | E. <u>'One class</u> <u>higher than</u> <u>the revised</u> <u>classes' ⁽²⁾</u> (Million <u>USD</u>) | F. <u>Mid-</u> <u>point of</u> <u>Class as</u> <u>per E</u> (Million <u>USD</u>) | G. <u>AAF (USD)</u> <u>for</u> processors⁵ | H. <u>AAI</u> (US for trac |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | ≤0.2 | 1 | ≤1 | >1 – 5 | 3 | 910 | 273 |
| 2 | >0.2 - 1 | 1 | ≤1 | >1 – 5 | 3 | 910 | 273 |
| 3 | >1 – 5 | 2 | >1 – 5 | >5 – 25 | 15 | 2,020 | 606 |
| 4 | >5 – 25 | 3 | >5 – 25 | >25 – 100 | 62.5 | 3,895 | 1,169 |
| 5 | >25 – 100 | 4 | >25 – 100 | >100 - 500 | 300 | 8,620 | 2,586 |
| 6 | >100 - 500 | 5 | >100 - 500 | >500 - 2,000 | 1,250 | 23,470 | 7,041 |
| 7 | >500 - 1,000 | 6 | >500 - 2,000 | >2,000 – 10,000 | 6,000 ⁽³⁾ | 84,720 | 25,416 |
| 8 | >1,000 - 2,000 | 6 | >500 - 2,000 | >2,000 – 10,000 | 6,000 ⁽³⁾ | 84,720 | 25,416 |
| 9 | >2,000 - 3,000 | 7 | >2,000 | n/a ⁽⁴⁾ | 10,000 ⁽³⁾ | 134,720 | 40,416 |
| 10 | >3,000 - 5,000 | 7 | >2,000 | n/a ⁽⁴⁾ | 10,000 ⁽³⁾ | 134,720 | 40,416 |
| 10+ | >5,000 | 7 | >2,000 | n/a (4) | 10,000 ⁽³⁾ | 134,720 | 40,416 |
| ⁽²⁾ Table 3 of ⁽³⁾ The uppe | f the AAF Policy V3- f the AAF Policy V3- er limit for the 'new' c her class | -1 class 7 is 1(| | o for the purposes of Question # 6.1 for | | | ault Pat |

Table 2 – Exception Pathway

| | | (Million USD) | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| 1 | ≤0.2 | 0.2 | 110 | 80 |
| 2 | >0.2 - 1 | 1 | 550 | 165 |
| 3 | >1 – 5 | 5 | 1,270 | 381 |
| 4 | >5 – 25 | 25 | 2,770 | 831 |
| 5 | >25 - 100 | 100 | 5,020 | 1,506 |
| 6 | >100 - 500 | 500 | 12,220 | 3,666 |
| 7 | >500 - 1,000 | 1,000 | 19,720 | 5,916 |
| 8 | >1,000 - 2,000 | 2,000 | 34,720 | 10,416 |
| 9 | >2,000 - 3,000 | 3,000 | 47,220 | 14,166 |
| 10 | >3,000 - 5,000 | 5,000 | 72,220 | 21,666 |
| 10+ | >5,000 | 10,000 ⁽²⁾ | 134,720 | 40,416 |
| ⁽²⁾ The upper lim Pathway | AAF Policy V3-1 hit for class 10 is 10,000 M resent Annual Administrat | | | |

1. General principles

No questions so far.

2. Obligations

| Reference | Obligations |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 17 February 2022 |
| Question # 2.18 | What process should Certification Bodies follow if CHs have queries about their invoicing? |
| Answer | As Certification Bodies invoice CHs directly, the CBs shall address the CHs queries directly. The CBs may contact FSC if they have any AAF technical-related queries via <u>aafsupport@fsc.org.</u> |
| | If a CH feels a CB has not addressed their queries to a satisfactory level, the CH shall follow the CB's dispute resolution procedure. |
| | A CH may contact FSC directly if the CH believes all options with the CB have been exhausted and to request support related to the interpretation of a technical requirement of the AAF policy via <u>aafsupport@fsc.org</u> . |

| Reference | Clause 2.2, and clause 2.4 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.8 | When does the following information need to be provided from the CH to the CB: ' <i>FPT</i> ? |
| Answer | As stated in clause 2.5, for Q3 2022 AAF invoicing, "CBs shall enter and review the FPT field (with the exact amount) by 15 June 2022 " [emphasis added]. Therefore, the CH needed to provide this information before this date to follow the Standard Pathway. |
| | CBs shall continue to strive to obtain the FPT figures for CHs who have not provided the FPT or Revenue data for Q4 2022 AAF invoicing and beyond. This requirement remains even though the Default Pathway may apply for Q4 2022 AAF invoicing (and potentially longer), as per Question # 0.13. |
| | The information in the FSC Certification Database , as per the values on the determination date, is used to calculate the respective AAF for quarterly invoices. |

| Reference | Clause 2.3 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 |
| Question # 2.22 | When new Participating Sites have been added to or removed from the scope of a Multi-site or Group COC certificate in between the audits, does the CB need to verify FPT figures for the new sites at the time when the Central Office updates the list of Participating Sites? |
| Answer | No, CBs only need to verify the FPT for new Participating Sites at the time of the next audit, unless the CB is in doubt about the accuracy and plausibility of any reported changes of the FPT in-between audits. |

| Reference | Clause 2.3 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 |
| Question # 2.23 | How should the verification of annual FPT take place for a multi-site or group certification given that not all Participating Sites are audited during the annual evaluation? |
| Answer | The CB shall assess the reported aggregated FPT for the whole group or multi-site certification for its overall plausibility. This shall include a plausibility assessment of the individual FPT figures of the sample of Participating Sites audited in the annual evaluation. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.28 | If a CH sells almost 100% forest products and therefore the difference between FPT and Revenue is small, is Revenue acceptable as the FPT? How should this be entered in the database? |
| Answer | AAF FAQ 0.9 outlines the level of rigour required for the FPT and states 'a conservative approach is to be used for CBs when assessing best estimates of Revenue that is, or is not, forest related.' In summary, if the difference is small the same figure may be entered in the FSC Certification Database for both the FPT and Revenue field. However, a smaller FPT may be entered if this can be reliably determined. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 c) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 2.9 | When does the following information need to be provided from the CH to the CB: (d) 'start and end date of fiscal year' and (e) 'the Revenue for new data entries'? |
| Answer | The data for the two fields must be provided by the CH from 1 January 2022 as part of the annual audit, or when requested by the CB. Prior to 1 January 2022 it is optional for the CH to provide the information to the CB. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 c) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 01 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.26 | When a CH has officially changed its fiscal year end date, and the fiscal period is shorter or longer than 12 months, how shall a CB verify and enter the FPT in the FSC Certification Database? |
| Answer | The data shall be verified in the annual audit and the FPT shall be based on the most recently completed fiscal year (regardless of whether the fiscal year is shorter or longer than 12 months) and entered into the FSC Certification Database. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 2.1 | Do the FPT and Revenue for Chain of Custody CHs in Class 1 have to be entered? |
| Answer | Yes. This requirement applies to CHs of <u>all</u> Classes. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021, updated 10 December 2021 |
| Question # 2.2 | What is the process if a CH refuses to share the FPT? |

| Answer | Please refer to Q # 0.13 on 'Data requirements: FPT and Revenue' and Q # 0.16 on CARs. |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 07 April 2022 |
| Question # 2.19 | Are sales made within a group (between group members) considered intracompany sales and therefore not included in the FPT figure? |
| Answer | A CoC group consists of two or more small independent enterprises. Therefore, sales within a group (i.e., between Participating Sites) cannot be considered intracompany. (<i>This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.</i>) |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 2.3 | If a company has multiple certifications, should the FPT and Revenue tie to the individual certificate? |
| Answer | Yes, FPT and Revenue have to be provided per individual certificate. (This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.) |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 2.4 | Should products manufactured by an external contractor be included within the FPT of a CH? Does it matter if the contractor is FSC certified or not? |
| Answer | Yes, products manufactured by an external contractor under an outsourcing agreement shall be included. It is irrelevant whether the contractor is FSC certified or not. (<i>This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.</i>) |
| | (This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.) |

| _ | | |
|---|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Clause 2.4 d)

Reference

| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
|------------------|---|
| Question # 2.10 | What is the FPT for a CH that is based in country X, which is assembling furniture (sofas, chairs, etc.) from the parts supplied by the mother company in country Y? The company based in country X is acting as subcontractor and does not make any purchases or sales at all and is invoicing the mother country Y just for the assembling services provided. |
| Answer | Zero '0' USD FPT shall be declared for the CH based in country X as the FPT definition states "Forest Products Turnover does not refer to related services". This would also apply if both companies were in the same country. (<i>This has not been changed compared to V2-9 of the AAF Policy.</i>) |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 28 January 2022 |
| Question # 2.15 | How should the FPT be calculated for a multi-site CH whose organizational structure consists of subsidiaries and include internal sales? |
| Answer | The FPT should not account the internal sales within a multi-site certification but only those actual Revenues from invoicing the customers of a CH. In summary, what ultimately matters is whether sales are made within or outside the multi-site certification. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 d) and h) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 01 July 2022, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.25 | What are the database entry requirements should the FPT figure in fact be zero '0' as opposed to not having been provided by the CH? |
| Answer | The CB shall enter zero '0' if the FPT figure is in fact '0', as per the FPT definition. This is to differentiate it from when the field is left blank as leaving the field blank signals that the data is still to be evaluated and potentially inputted later. |
| | If the CH does not provide data on either FPT or Revenue because the Standard Pathway or Revenue Pathway do not apply, CBs shall leave the fields for both FPT or Revenue blank (i.e. zero '0' shall not be entered). The FSC internal system will automatically apply the Default Pathway to calculate the AAF for the AAF invoices to CBs. |

| (See Question # 2.16 for further clarification on data entry requirements for the other pathways). |
|--|
| |

| Reference | Clause 2.4.e) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021, updated 21 June 2022 |
| Question # 2.12 | Are there any exceptions for when a CH does not have to provide the Revenue figure? |
| Answer | Yes, there are. If the FPT figure does not involve an element of estimation, meaning there is clear and convincing evidence that it is accurate and has been obtained through a proven reliable process, the CB may waive the requirement to collect and enter the exact Revenue figure into the FSC Certification database. Clear and convincing evidence shall be understood in the same way as in ADVICE-40-004-18 (V2-0) (Document Forest Stewardship <u>Council (fsc.org)</u>) Furthermore, if the Revenue Pathway (Q # 0.13) applies and the cap of 10 billion is used, the exact Revenue figure is not required, and no supporting documentation is needed. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4.e) |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 |
| Question # 2.24 | What are the database entry requirements should the Revenue figure not be required due to meeting the exceptions outlined in Q2.12? |
| Answer | The CB shall enter zero '0' if the requirement is waived due to there being clear and convincing evidence that the FPT does not involve an element of estimation. This is to differentiate it from when the field is left blank as leaving the field blank signals that the data is still to be evaluated and potentially inputted later. |
| | If the cap of 10 billion USD applies, CBs may enter '10 billion' into the field. However, CBs are encouraged to enter the actual Revenue figure if possible. For the invoicing of CBs by FSC International, the cap will automatically be applied in the background for as long as the flowchart in Q0.13 applies. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4 f) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021, updated 01 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.5 | The AAF Policy states that for Project Certification the CBs shall enter in the FSC Certification Database "the overall cost of each project." Is it necessary to enter each project separately in the FSC Certification Database? |
| | Which currency shall be used when entering the costs for projects? Which date shall be used for conversion (if required)? |
| Answer | The CB shall obtain the individual cost for each Project. |
| | Aggregated project costs <i>per quarter</i> shall be entered in the FSC Certification Database in the field labelled Total Project(s) Cost (USD). |
| | An excel document showing the following shall be uploaded as an attachment: |
| | a. whether the project is certified individually or under continuous project certification (i.e. multiple projects); |
| | b. the total cost for each project for the respective Quarter; |
| | c. the total AAF (based on the total aggregated project costs) for the respective Quarter; |
| | d. for multi-sites, a list of the participating sites who are certified against FSC-STD-40-006, specifying the aggregated costs for project certification per legal entity for the respective Quarter; |
| | e. for groups, a list of the members who are certified against FSC-STD- 40-006, specifying the aggregated costs for project certification per legal entity for the respective Quarter. |
| | A predefined template is not provided to CBs for this. |
| | As is the case for FPT and Revenue, the Project Cost shall be provided in USD. The conversion date is the date at the end of the quarter. |
| | These requirements may be updated when the FSC Certification Database is upgraded to fully reflect the provisions of FSC-STD-40-006 V2- 0. |

| Reference | Clause 2.4.h) |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021 |
| Question # 2.13 | Do CBs need to enter the fiscal year for groups where individual companies have differing financial years? |

| Answer The FSC Certification Database does not allow CBs to enter all the fiscal years of the individual members; the CB shall enter the fiscal year dates applicable to the Group Manager only. |
|--|
|--|

| Reference | Clause 2.5 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.6 | Which AAF Classes should be selected when entering data into the FSC Certification Database: the appropriate Class from the old AAF Classes 1-10+ as set out in the AAF Policy V2-9 or the new AAF Classes 1-7 as set out in the AAF Policy V3-1? |
| Answer | The AAF Policy V3-1 states that the AAF Classes 1-10+ are still applicable prior to 1 July 2022, so up to that date CBs shall select a Class in the ranges that are also specified in the AAF Policy V2-9. |
| | From 1 July 2022 CBs will not be asked to select from amongst the new Classes (range 1-7) that are applicable from 1 July 2022, since these will be looked-up automatically by the AAF invoicing system based on the FPT fields. From August 2022 the AAF Class fields will be removed as editable fields from the FSC Certification Database for the general case (i.e. for CHs following the Standard Pathway), and a specific solution will be provided for CHs following the Default Pathway for AAF invoicing. (Note that the Default Pathway is only followed when the FPT and Revenue fields are both left blank; zero '0' values should only be input when the FPT and/or Revenue was indeed zero '0'.) |

| Reference | Clause 2.5 |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.27 | Shall the information on CH Class value still be relevant from Q3 2022 onwards? |
| Answer | The data in the old AAF Class (i.e. the previous 1-10) fields are relevant only for CHs following the Default Pathway for AAF invoicing. We will revise the fields available on Salesforce in August 2022 to simplify data entry going forward. |

| Reference | Clause 2.6 | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Publication date | 17 February 2022, updated 29 July 2022 | | | | |
| Question # 2.16 | How shall CBs communicate to FSC when the FPT and/or the Revenue is not available in order to allow FSC to calculate the AAF according to Q # 0.13 of the AAF FAQ? | | | | |
| Answer | - | | | | |

| Reference | Clauses 2.16 and 2.19 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 17 February 2022 |
| Question # 2.17 | Is a document signed by a Chartered Accountant stating just the FPT amount (with no context) sufficient as supporting documentation for the FPT amount? |
| Answer | A signed statement from a reputable professional services firm is acceptable. In such a case the CB is not required to investigate further the validity of the numbers stated. The statement shall include the name of the Chartered Accountant or auditor, as well as the organization. |
| | A self-declaration signed by an internal Chartered Accountant can be used as supporting documentation alongside other checks. In this case, Clause 2.16 of the AAF Policy and Q # 0.9 on 'FPT Guidance' continue to apply. For reference, Clause 2.16 of the AAF Policy states: |
| | CBs shall not rely on oral or written self-declarations alone. A self- declaration shall be assessed by the CB for reasonableness, plausibility and, as far as possible, accuracy. |

| Reference | Clause 2.17 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 07 April 2022, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 2.21 | Can data published by a reputable risk management and scoring company be used as supporting documentation to assess the Revenue and/or FPT data? |
| Answer | The data can be used for the FPT and Revenue in the case of CHs with a 100% forest-based business where the Revenue reported by a reputable risk management and scoring company represents the FPT. |
| | In the case of CHs with a business that is not 100% forest-based, the Revenue reported by a reputable risk management and scoring company shall be used for the Revenue. |
| | If a range for the FPT can be determined from a reputable risk management and scoring company, the upper value is to be used, in line with Question # 0.9. |

| Reference | Clause 2.17 |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 10 November 2021 |
| Question # 2.11 | Does the Central Office of CoC Multi-Site and Groups have to collect written self-declarations of their sites/group members? |
| Answer | No, the Central Office is not required to collect written self-declarations of their sites/group members. |

| Reference | Clause 2.18 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 2.7 | Do records have to be kept for at least seven (7) years starting with the next calendar year after which the records have been created? |
| Answer | Yes, the AAF Policy V3-1 states this. When the policy is revised again the time period will be increased to ten (10) years, which is in line with the revised retention period for all documents. |

| Reference | Clause 2.18 and 2.19 | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Publication date | 10 December 2021 | | | |
| Question # 2.14 | Which records of the supporting documentation for the AAF calculation should be collected and stored by CBs related to FPT and Revenue? | | | |
| Answer | In general, CBs are required to store the following information and supporting documentation, so that the AAF could be independently verified by a third party, if required: | | | |
| | 1. The approach used | | | |
| | 2. The rationale for the approach | | | |
| | 3. Details of supporting documentation assessed, including key figures | | | |
| | It is not compulsory for CBs to store a copy of the supporting documentation itself. However, FSC recommends CBs store the supporting documentation if possible. | | | |

| Reference | Clause 2.18 | Clause 2.18 and 2.19 | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Publication date | 06 April 2022 | 06 April 2022 | | | | |
| Question # 2.20 | a) the FPT a | For each pathway, as per Q # 0.13, when is the following required: a) the FPT and Revenue figures? b) verification? | | | | |
| Answer | Pathway | FPT figure required? | Level of Rigor of FPT as per Q # 0.9 met? | Revenue figure required? | Verificati on of Revenue required? | Additional comments on exceptions |
| | Standard Pathway | Ø | Ø | ☑ or 🗵 | v or ⊠ | As per Q # 2.12, if the FPT figure does not involve an element of estimation, the CB may waive the requirement to collect and verify the Revenue figure. |
| | Revenue Pathway | X | X | v or ⊠ | v or ⊯ | As per Q # 2.12, if the cap of 10 billion is used, the CB may waive the requirement to collect and verify the Revenue figure. |
| | Exception Pathway | X | X | ☑ or 🗵 | ☑ or 🗵 | Template for Exception Request (see Annex 1) to |

| | | | | | be completed initially. Revenue requirements in such a circumstance are determined on a case-by- case basis. |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Default Pathway | × | × | × | × | |

3. Consequences for non-conformity

No questions so far.

4. Calculating the AAF for Forest Management (FM), Forest Management/ Chain of Custody (FM/COC) and Controlled Wood/Forest Management (CW/FM) certification

No questions so far.

5. Calculating the AAF for Chain of Custody (COC)

| Reference | Clause 5.1.2 |
|------------------|---|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022, updated 29 July 2022 |
| Question # 5.1 | When shall CBs update the FPT field in the FSC Certification Database in the case of a newly founded company? |
| Answer | CBs shall enter USD '100000' in the FPT field in the FSC Certification Database and leave the Revenue field blank. This must be done prior to the applicable determination date (including for Q3 AAF invoicing). The FPT shall then be updated at the following annual evaluation once the first fiscal year has been completed. |

| Reference | Clause 5.1.2 | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 | |
| Question # 5.2 | What value shall be entered for the FPT in the FSC Certification Database in the following cases:a) A newly acquired company (i.e. a change in ownership)?b) A newly certified company? | |
| Answer | a) Newly acquired company: this refers to an existing company that has been purchased by another legal entity and has not yet completed the first fiscal year. | |

| CBs shall apply the same rule as for a newly founded company and enter USD '100000' in the FPT field in the FSC Certification Database. This must be done prior to the applicable determination date. In such cases the FPT shall be updated at the following annual evaluation once the first fiscal year has been completed. |
|--|
| b) Newly certified company: |
| All newly certified companies are expected to comply immediately with providing the exact FPT data, unless the Exception Pathway is granted and/or unless the new CH is a newly founded company as outlined in clause 5.1.2. |
| CB should use the most recent data that is available until the newer data becomes available at the point of data collection. |

| Reference | Annex 2 | |
|------------------|--|--|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 | |
| Question # 5.3 | What is the AAF Class Minimum Turnover in case of Class 1 processor/trader? | |
| Answer | It is not possible to have a negative FPT, therefore the minimum FPT to qualify for AAF Class 1 is zero. However, there is a minimum AAF (USD 80 as per Annex 2 Clause 3) that applies if the result of the calculation is lower than the specified minimum. | |
| | Note: The value of \$1,000,000 given in the formula (Annex 3 Clause 2 f) is a fixed number and does not represent the AAF Class Minimum Turnover. | |
| | $AAF = Base Fee + \left(\frac{Excess Turnover}{\$1,000,000} \times Variable Fee\right)$ | |

6. Invoicing and payment

| Reference | Clause 6.2 |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 22 September 2021 |
| Question # 6.1 | How does the invoicing work during the first year of the effective date of the Policy (i.e. 2022)? |
| Answer | CBs pay FSC the AAF per quarter. This means that the FSC invoicing to CBs for Q1 and Q2 uses the Classes pricing method (as was also the case in V2-9) and the FSC invoicing to CBs for Q3 and Q4 uses the exact figures of the FPT. As stated in the policy in clause 6.2: "The quarterly invoices will be calculated based on the AAF information available in the FSC |

| | Certification Database on the determination dates specified in Table 4, Annex 3 (or the next working day) and dividing the final result by four". CBs charge the CHs the AAF. As stated in clause 6.5 "CBs are responsible for their own invoicing arrangements and collections independent of FSC and the AAF", however, as per clause 6.10 "CBs shall identify the AAF on |
|--|---|
| | their invoices to CHs as calculated for that CH according to this policy". This means that CBs shall carry out a reconciliation with their CHs at a later date if necessary (due to for example, advance billing of CHs meaning that the overall amount differs to the AAF Policy). |
| Reference | Clause 6.6 |
| Publication date | 10 November 2021, updated 17 February 2022 |
| Question # 6.2 | Does Oanda have to be used as a fee seems to be necessary for its use? |
| Answer CBs should select the currency and dates from the following page: <u>https://www1.oanda.com/currency/converter/.</u> There is no nee CBs to try to access other areas of the Oanda website regarding his rates where premium rated data is provided and therefore a paymer access this is required. For the purposes of the AAF, just using the recurrency converter page suffices. | |
| | If it is not possible to access Oanda in a specific country due to internet restrictions, the figure from the National Bank shall instead be used. For example, for Chinese CHs, this website shall be used: http://www.safe.gov.cn/safe/rmbhlzjj/index.html |

| Reference | Clause 6.6 |
|------------------|--|
| Publication date | 21 June 2022 |
| Question # 6.3 | What exchange rate should be used if there is a small difference depending on the day the conversion is carried out, even though the fiscal year-end date is correctly selected? |
| Answer | Enter the figure that is first encountered on Oanda. Exchange rate variations of <0.1% are considered immaterial. Immaterial exchange rate variations may occur depending on the day of review and are acceptable for verification purposes. |

7. Prevailing clause

No questions so far

Annex 1

Template for Exception Requests (ER)

This template is to be filled in by CBs to submit an approval request to FSC via <u>aafsupport@fsc.org</u> for applying the Exception Pathway. The following subject shall be used "AAF Exception Approval Request: CB NAME _ CH CODE".

This exception is only granted upon individual request and subsequent FSC approval in writing. All exception requests for AAF invoicing in Q4 2022 need to be submitted to FSC by 31 August 2022.

| ER-Code (assigned by FSC) | FSC-EXC-AAF-2022-000 | |
|--|--|--|
| Request | The CH to follow the Exception Pathway as the CH meets valid criteria. | |
| Name of Certificate Holder (CH) | | |
| Certification Code | | |
| Rationale (valid criteria that prevents CH from providing Forest Products Turnover and Revenue data) | | |
| Supporting document attached | □ Yes □ No □ N/A | |
| Further information (optional) | | |
| FSC EVALUATON RESULT | | |
| FSC conclusion | | |
| Approval date (if applicable) | | |
| Period of validity (if applicable) | | |

List of abbreviations

- AAF Annual Administration Fee
- ASI Assurance Services International
- CAR Corrective Action Request
- CB Certification Body
- CH Certificate Holder
- CoC Chain of Custody
- FPT Forest Products Turnover



FSC International Adenauerallee 134 53113 Bonn Germany

Email : <u>aafsupport@fsc.org</u> for questions related to the AAF Policy

> <u>database@fsc.org</u> for questions related to the FSC Certification Database

<u>connect@fsc.org</u> for questions related to access to the AAF Portal